

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION

Billy Carey,)	Civil Action No.: 2:11-02875-MBS-BHH
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	<u>REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION</u>
vs.)	<u>OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u>
)	
Dr. Drago, Evans CI; Dr. McRee,)	
McCormick CI; Nurse Andrews,)	
McCormick CI; and Dr. Thomas)	
Moore, Director of Medical, SCDC)	
Headquarters,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

The plaintiff brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983. On April 5, 2012, the defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. By order of this court filed April 6, 2012, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the plaintiff was advised of the summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately. Despite this explanation, the plaintiff did not respond to the motion.

As the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court filed a second order on May 16, 2012, giving the plaintiff through June 5, 2012, to file his response to the motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond, this action would be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. The plaintiff did not respond.

Based on the foregoing, it appears the plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action. Accordingly, it is recommended that this action be dismissed *with prejudice* for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with this Court's orders, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the factors outlined in *Chandler Leasing Corp. v. Lopez*, 669 F.2d 919, 920 (4th Cir.1982). See *Ballard v. Carlson*, 882 F.2d 93 (4th Cir. 1989).

s/Bruce Howe Hendricks
United States Magistrate Judge

June 11, 2012
Charleston, South Carolina